

# Notes on Weak Induction

Scribe: Shawn Salick      Lecturer/Editor: Chris Eppolito

17 February 2020

Written in predicate logic, the formula for *weak mathematical induction* is:

$$(P(0) \wedge \forall_{k \in \mathbb{N}}[P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)]) \rightarrow \forall_{n \in \mathbb{N}}P(n)$$

Given a statement  $P(n)$  defined over for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , to prove  $\forall_{n \in \mathbb{N}}P(n) \dots$

1. Prove  $P(0)$  is true. This is the *Base Case*.
2. Prove  $P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . This is the *Inductive Step*.

We may then conclude that  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The rest of these notes consists of many examples of the technique above.

**Proposition.** *For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have*

$$\sum_{j=0}^n j = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}.$$

*Proof.* We proceed by weak mathematical induction on  $n$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  let

$$P(n) : \sum_{j=0}^n j = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}.$$

*Base Case:* Notice that  $\sum_{j=0}^0 j = 0 = \frac{0(0+1)}{2}$ . Hence  $P(0)$  is true and the base case holds.

*Inductive Step:* Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  be arbitrary and assume for induction

$$P(k) : \sum_{j=0}^k j = \frac{k(k+1)}{2}.$$

By the inductive hypothesis and basic arithmetic we obtain

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k+1} j = \sum_{j=0}^k j + (k+1) \stackrel{IH}{=} \frac{k(k+1)}{2} + (k+1) = \frac{(k)(k+1)}{2} + \frac{2(k+1)}{2} = \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}.$$

Hence we have shown  $P(k+1)$  is true; thus the inductive step holds.

Hence the proposition holds by weak mathematical induction. □

**Proposition.** *For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $n < 2^n$ .*

*Proof.* We proceed by weak mathematical induction on  $n$ .

*Base Case:* For  $n = 0$  and  $n = 1$  we have  $0 < 1 = 2^0$  and  $1 < 2 = 2^1$ . Hence the base case holds.

*Inductive Step:* Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  be arbitrary and assume  $k < 2^k$ ; note that we may assume  $k \geq 1$ . We compute

$$k+1 < 2^k + 1 \leq 2^k + 2^k = 2 \cdot 2^k = 2^{k+1}.$$

Hence the inductive step holds.

Hence the proposition holds by weak mathematical induction. □

**Proposition.** For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^n (2j - 1) = n^2.$$

*Proof.* We proceed by weak mathematical induction on  $n$ .

*Base Case:* We have  $\sum_{j=1}^{(0)} (2(0) - 1) = 0 = 0^2$ , so the base case holds.

*Inductive Step:* Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  be arbitrary and assume  $\sum_{j=1}^k (2j - 1) = k^2$ . We compute

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k+1} (2j - 1) = \sum_{j=1}^k (2j - 1) + (2(k+1) - 1) = (k^2) + 2k + 1 = (k+1)^2.$$

Hence the inductive step holds.

Hence the proposition holds by weak mathematical induction.  $\square$

**Proposition.** For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $3 \mid (n^3 - n)$ .

*Proof.* We proceed by weak mathematical induction on  $n$ .

*Base Case:* For  $n = 0$ , we have  $n^3 - n = 0^3 - 0 = 0 = 3 \cdot 0$ . Hence  $3 \mid 0^3 - 0$  and the base case holds.

*Inductive Step:* Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  be an arbitrary number and assume  $3 \mid (k^3 - k)$ . By the definition of divisibility, there is an integer  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $k^3 - k = 3m$ . Now we compute

$$\begin{aligned} (k+1)^3 - (k+1) &= (k^3 + 3k^2 + 3k + 1) - k - 1 \\ &= (k^3 - k) + (1 - 1) + 3k^2 + 3k \\ &= 3m + 3k^2 + 3k \\ &= 3(m + k^2 + k) \end{aligned}$$

Now  $m + k^2 + k \in \mathbb{Z}$  by closure properties, so  $3 \mid ((k+1)^3 - (k+1))$ . Hence the inductive step holds.

Hence the proposition holds by weak mathematical induction.  $\square$

**Proposition.** For all  $n \geq 0$ , we have  $57 \mid (7^{n+2} + 8^{2n+1})$ .

*Proof.* We proceed by weak mathematical induction on  $n$ .

*Base Case:* Note  $57 \cdot 1 = 57 = 49 + 8 = 7^{(0)+2} + 8^{2(0)+1}$ , so  $57 \mid (7^{(0)+2} + 8^{2(0)+1})$  as desired.

*Inductive Step:* Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  be arbitrary number and assume  $57 \mid (7^{k+2} + 8^{2k+1})$ . By definition of divisibility we have  $7^{k+2} + 8^{2k+1} = 57m$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Now we compute

$$\begin{aligned} 7^{(k+1)+2} + 8^{2(k+1)+1} &= 7^{k+3} + 8^{2k+3} \\ &= 7^{k+2} \cdot 7 + 8^{2k+1} \cdot 8^2 \\ &= ((7^{k+2} \cdot 7) + (8^{2k+1} \cdot 8^2)) \\ &= (7^{k+2} \cdot 7) + ((8^{2k+1}) \cdot (7 + 57)) \\ &= 7(7^{k+2} + 8^{2k+1}) + (8^{2k+1} \cdot 57) \\ &= 7(57m) + (8^{2k+1} \cdot 57) \\ &= 57(7m + 8^{2k+1}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $57 \mid (7^{(k+1)+2} + 8^{2(k+1)+1})$  as  $7m + 8^{2k+1} \in \mathbb{Z}$  by closure properties, and the induction step holds.

Hence the original statement holds by weak induction.  $\square$