

Le. 5 : Curl and Divergence

Def: Consider $\vec{F} = \langle P, Q, R \rangle$ s.t. 1st order

partial derivatives of P, Q, R exist. Then the

Curl of \vec{F} is

$$\text{curl}(\vec{F}) = \begin{vmatrix} \hat{i} & \hat{j} & \hat{k} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ P & Q & R \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \langle R_y - Q_z, -(R_x - P_z), Q_x - P_y \rangle.$$

If we denote $\nabla := \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \rangle$,

$$\text{curl}(\vec{F}) = \nabla \times \vec{F}.$$

Exercise: If $\vec{F}(x, y, z) = \langle xz, xy^2, -y^2 \rangle$, find

Curl \vec{F} .

Thm. 1: If f has cts. 2nd-order partial derivatives on a disk D , then $\text{curl}(\nabla f) = \vec{0}$.

pf: $\text{curl } \nabla f = \nabla \times \nabla f = \begin{vmatrix} \hat{i} & \hat{j} & \hat{k} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ f_x & f_y & f_z \end{vmatrix}$ Reminder: $f_{xy} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right)$

Calculation $= \langle f_{zy} - f_{yz}, -(f_{zx} - f_{xz}), f_{yx} - f_{xy} \rangle$
 $= \langle 0, 0, 0 \rangle = \vec{0}. //$

Remark: * If \vec{F} is conservative, then $\text{curl } \vec{F} = \vec{0}$.

\exists a fnc. f s.t. $\nabla f = \vec{F}$. So by Thm 1,

$$\text{curl } \vec{F} = \text{curl } \nabla f = \vec{0}.$$

The converse is not always true, but...

If $\text{curl } \vec{F} \neq \vec{0}$, then \vec{F} is NOT conservative.

Thm. 2: If \vec{F} is a vector field defined on \mathbb{R}^3 whose component fnc's have cts. partial derivatives and $\text{curl } \vec{F} = \vec{0}$, then \vec{F} is conservative.

Exercise(s): ① Show that $\vec{F}(x, y, z) = \langle y^2 z^3, 2xyz^3, 3xy^2 z^2 \rangle$ is conservative.

② Find a fnc. f s.t. $\nabla f = \vec{F}$.

Remark: * If $\text{curl } \vec{F} \neq \vec{0}$, then \vec{F} is NOT conservative.

Def: If $\vec{F} = \langle P, Q, R \rangle$ and the 1st-order partial derivatives of P, Q, R exist, then the divergence of \vec{F} is $\text{div } (\vec{F}) = \nabla \cdot \vec{F} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial R}{\partial z}$.

Exercise: If $\vec{F}(x, y, z) = \langle xy, xz, -y^2 \rangle$, find $\text{div } \vec{F}$.

Thm. 3: If $\vec{F} = \langle P, Q, R \rangle$ is a vect. field on \mathbb{R}^3 and P, Q, R have cts. 2nd-order partial derivatives, then $\operatorname{div}(\operatorname{curl} \vec{F}) = 0$

Pf: $\operatorname{div} \operatorname{curl} \vec{F} = \nabla \cdot (\nabla \times \vec{F})$

$$= \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right\rangle \cdot \langle P_y - Q_z, P_z - R_x, Q_x - P_y \rangle$$

Clairaut's

$$= \cancel{P_{yx} - Q_{zx}} + \cancel{P_{zy} - R_{xy}} + \cancel{Q_{xz} - P_{yz}}$$

$$= 0. //$$

Ex) Show that $\vec{F}(x, y, z) = \langle xy, xyz, -y^2 \rangle$ can't be written as the curl of another vector field.

Sol: By way of contradiction, suppose that we can find vector field \vec{G} s.t. $\vec{F} = \operatorname{curl} \vec{G}$.

Then, $\operatorname{div} \vec{F} = \operatorname{div} \operatorname{curl} \vec{G} = 0$, a contradiction.

$$y + xz$$

Hence, there does not exist such a vector field.

Rewriting Green's Theorem:

Let C be a curve and P and Q functions satisfying Green's Theorem. Let D be the region enclosed by C . If $\vec{F} = \langle P, Q \rangle$, then

$$\oint_C \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = \oint_C P dx + Q dy = \iint_D \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial P}{\partial y} dA$$

but $\text{curl } \vec{F} = \begin{vmatrix} \hat{i} & \hat{j} & \hat{k} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ P & Q & 0 \end{vmatrix}$

6

$$= \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \hat{k} \\ P & Q & \end{vmatrix} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \begin{vmatrix} \hat{i} & \hat{j} \\ P & Q \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial P}{\partial y} \right) \hat{k}$$

$$\therefore \oint_C \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = \iint_D \text{curl } \vec{F} \cdot \hat{k} dA.$$